## Police access to school biometric databases

Pippa King 'Biometrics in schools' www.pippaking.blogspot.co.uk

December 2013

On May 22nd May 2013 all UK Police Forces were sent a Freedom of Information request, 'Access to school biometric databases'. Highlighted in yellow are forces that have not answered the Freedom of Information request.

These questions apply from 2001 until present and applies to your/this police force's jurisdiction. Preferred format would be a breakdown down by school and year if possible:

- 1) Please advise how many schools had computer hardware and software stolen.
- 2) Please advise if any of the computer hardware and software stolen had children's or teacher's biometric data on.
- 3) In relation to question 2 please could you advise if any of the computer hardware and software that has been stolen has ever been recovered?
- 4) Apart from theft, is this police force aware of any biometric systems in schools being compromised?
- 5) Does your force report any biometric data stolen to any government department? If so please advise which one.
- 6) Has this police force ever requested or had access to a school biometric database and please advise whether requests were successfully granted or not.
- 7) With regards to question 6, from the school biometric databases accessed please advise if:
- i) Any biometric data was added to the police database.
- ii) Was the schools biometric data system easily inter-operable with the police database?
- 8) Was any conviction successful as a result of data obtained from a school biometric database?

Force	Comments	Final Outcome
	NORTHERN IRELAND	
Northern Ireland	It is estimated that the cost of complying withyour request for information would exceed the appropriate cost limit under section 12 (1) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 which is currently set by the Secretary of State at £450.	Unable to answer. Cost
	ENGLAND	
Cumbria	Cannot answer due to cost.	Unable to answer. Cost
Northumbria	Cannot answer due to cost.	Unable to answer. Cost
Durham	Cannot answer due to cost.	Unable to answer. Cost
Cleveland	Cannot answer due to cost.	Unable to answer. Cost
North Yorkshire	Request transfered to North Yorkshire Police by Crime Comm Office 26 June 13. 16th July - cannot retreieve data as too much cost.	Unable to answer. Cost
Lancashire	Too much money, unable to answer request.	Unable to answer. Cost
Humberside	It has been determined in order to retrieve all the data relevant to this request would greatly exceed the appropriate limit of 18 hours or as a cost equivalent £450. The reason for this is that it would require a manual review of hundreds and hundreds of manual and electronic files since 2001 which would massively exceed the	Unable to answer. Cost
West Yorkshire	It is estimated that the cost of providing / locating the information you seek within your request would exceed the time threshold. Where a reasonable estimate has been made that the appropriate limit would be exceeded, there is no requirement for a public authority to undertake the work up to the limit.	Unable to answer. Cost
Greater Manchester	After a series of email exchanges, they were unable to answer	Unable to answer. Cost
Merseyside	Reply back that it would exceed cost, asked it they can whittle it down to just high schools and email them the Dept Inf Com comments. Would exceed costs. 25/6	Unable to answer. Cost
South Yorkshire	There is no property class under computer that readily recorded the theft of biometric data and there is no mandatory marker with CMS to identify such detail. Since 2001 until the 10th of June 2013, 1884 offences have been recorded as meeting the above outlined criteria. In order to identify if any biometric data was contained on any stolen property it would mean manually reviewing each individual offence. At a very conservative estimate of spending 5 minutes per incident, this would amount to 157 hours of work to extract information pertinent to your request. 21/6	Unable to answer. Cost. Crime management system does not have a search criteris for biometric
Lincolnshire	It has been estimated to locate, retrieve and extract any of the information (if held) which you require, would exceed the "Appropriate Limit" set within the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Section 12 (1) of the Freedom of Information Act states that a public authority is not obliged to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the 'appropriate limit'. For police forces in the UK, the 'appropriate limit' is considered to be up to 18 hours of work, or £450 at £25 an hour, on one request.	Unable to answer. Cost

would exceed cost.  Would exceed cost.  Would exceed cost.  Would be served the biomeric data as they are not searchable field. After 2008 a different system was used to Unable to answer. Cost greater from the biomeric data as they are not searchable field. After 2008 a different system was used to Unable to answer. Cost final information requires a manual search of all records held. An initial search revealed a road of 513 Accords over a part a fairly expend. We are unabled to supply efformation for 2000 did not being of the incident and manually in order to ascertain if there was any information contained in relation to your request.  Work Middlands  Not really are replying but approximate can insurrow it shows due to cost. 20 Jame. Email and narrowing it down to be 2-12 June. No nearly in order to ascertain if there was any information contained in relation to your request.  Warwickshire  All the properties of the near the cost of the act so I spoke to the information officer and she suggested in-submitting unity Question four seeing it they could nature that they would be a contained in a suggested in-submitting unity Question four seeing it they could nature that they would be a contained in a suggested in-submitting unity Question four seeing it they could nature that they would be a contained in a suggested in-submitting unity Question four seeing it they could nature that they would be a contained in a suggested in-submitting unity Question for the act so I spoke to the information officer and she suggested in-submitting unity Question for the act so I spoke to the information officer and she suggested in-submitting unity Question for the act so I spoke to the information officer and she suggested in-submitting unity Question for the control of the act so I spoke to the information of the control of the control of the act so I spoke to the information of the control of t	Derbyshire	Would exceed cost.	Unable to answer. Cost
that forthalise  Unable to answer the biometric data as they are not searchable field. After 2008 a different system was used to execute orien. Unable to answer due to cost.  This information is not recorded in a wealty retrievable format. To locate, retrieve and extract the requested information requires a manual search of all records field. An initial search revealed at total of 513 records over a year do and a half sweep redict. We are enabled to scapply information prior to 2004 due to the gad of the information and in line will hostito plannishine Police's fleetening and prior to 2004 due to the gad of the information and in line will hostito plannishine Police's fleetening and prior to 2004 due to the gad of the information and in line will hostito plannishine Police's fleetening and plannishing and the prior to 2004 due to the gad of the information of the prior to 2004 due to the gad of the information of the prior to 2004 due to the search of the prior to 2004 due to 25-21 June 10 retrieved to 25	Cheshire	Email received 14 June. "No information held"	·
record crime. Unable to answer due to cost.  This information is not recorded in an easily retrievable format. To locate, retrieve and extract the requised information requires a namual search of all records field. An initial search revealed a total of 813 records over a 9 and a fall year period. We are shalled to supply information prior to 2004 due to the age of the incidents and namually in order to ascertain if there was any information prior to 2004 due to the day of the incidents and namually in order to ascertain if there was any information contained in retails on to year require.  West Midlands  No Tenly : re mained the FORT Aug  Letter error that assering question 3.8 would go over the cost of the act so I spake to the Information Officer and she wagesterness that the cost of providing year with the information requested would exceed the Suppopriate level as set on it to the Preceding of Information (Papopriate level and Papopriate Level as set on it to the Preceding of Information (Papopriate Level as 225 per hour to respond to year require).  Lambridgehine	West Mercia	Would exceed cost.	Unable to answer. Cost
Notinghamshire and an analyse period. We are an able to supply information prior 2000 due to the age of the information and in line with Notinghamshire Police's Retention and Disposed Petilsy. Earl present out to the age of the information and in line with Notinghamshire Police's Retention and Disposed Petilsy. Earl present out to the age of the information and in line with Notinghamshire Police's Retention and Disposed Petilsy. Earl present out to the age of the information of the act so it pole to the information of the act so it pole to the information officer and and sex agestered re-admitting only (Question 6 and series) if they could make the control to they would try. 10th due to thick 12 July 13. no reply.  Whethamptombire control is a series of the present that the cost of providing you with the information requested would exceed the appropriate level as set on it in the Freedom of Information (Appropriate lund and feed Regulations 2004. Mail seasomers in that it would cost to every 500 reports as a cost of 22 an interest to every 100 reports as 2004. The accession of the information requested would exceed the appropriate level as set on it in the Freedom of Information (Appropriate lund and feed Regulations 2004. Mail seasomers in that it would cost to every 500 reports as a cost of 22 an interest to every expenditure of the cost of the act so important level as set on at it is estimated that will take a minimum of 100 hours at a cost of 22 and to the cost of the act so important level as set on at it is estimated that the cost of the act so important level as a cost of 22 and an act of 220 and and an advantage and a seasomers of the cost of the act so important level as a cost of 22 and and a seasomers	Staffordshire	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Unable to answer. Cost
West Midlands No reply in exemilated the FOIT Aug  Letter sent that ansering question 1-38 would go over the cost of the act so I spoke to the Information Officer and the suggester of examination of South 1-38 would go over the cost of the act so I spoke to the Information Officer and the suggester of examination 1-38 would go over the cost of the act so I spoke to the Information Officer and the suggester of examination of South 1-38 would go over the cost of the act so I spoke to the Information Officer and the suggester of examination of the South 1-38 would not be represented to 1-38 would not be represented and the suggester of every 200 reports 20 minutes each (minimum) — over 35 hours at £25 per hour to respond to your request, which exceeds the Papoprostate limit (currently £250 for prescribed costs).  Lambridgeshire  Tambridgeshire  Tambridg	Nottinghamshire	information requires a manual search of all records held. An initial search revealed a total of 813 records over a 9 and a half year period. We are unable to supply information prior to 2004 due to the age of the incidents and in line with Nottinghamshire Police's Retention and Disposal Policy. Each record would need to be searched	Unable to answer. Cost
Letter sent that anoming question 1-8 would go over the cost of the act os tignite to the information Officer and the ouggested re-substitution point Questions and seeing if they could answer that, probably they couldn't but they would try. FOIR due back \$2 July \$3 - no reply  10.5 my assessment, that the cost of providing you with the information requested would exceed the appropriate level is void to use the Freedom of Information (Appropriate Limit and Fees) Regulations 2008. My assessment that the cost of providing you with the information Propriate Limit and Fees) Regulations 2004. My assessment that the limit seed that the secretary of the providing the series of the providence of the sound of the providence of the providing the term 5 could not be provided to \$2.5 per load of \$2.5 per lo	Leicestershire		Not answered
Avanwakshire  and dhe suggested re-submitting only Question 6 and seeing if they could answer that, probably they couldn't but they would try. FOR die beach 12 July 13 -no reply  It is my assessment that the cost of providing you with the information requested would exceed the appropriate level' as set out in the Freedom of Information (Appropriate Limit and Free) Regulations 2004. My assessment is that it would cost for every 100 reports 20 minutes each (minimum) = over 3 hours at 25 per hour to respond to your request, which exceeds the "appropriate limit" (carrently £580 for 'prescribed costs).  It is estimated that it will take a minimum of 100 bons at a cost of £52 an hour to view approximately 27d crimes a year, to provide an answer to this request which is above the 18 hour cost limit. This is due to the first every crime for a theft or bruginary from locations including the term "School" for the period requested would need to be individually reviewed to provide the information requested.  Could not answere due to cost.  Whofolk  Could not answere due to cost.  This data is owned by the respective schools. It is the responsibility of the school to have policies and procedures in place regarding the release of Biometric data to the Police. All Police Services must ensure that any acress to personal data in the course of any investigation is authorized at the correct level and is done within the legal framework.  Gost to common. Email sent to cruste of any investigation is authorized at the correct level and is done within the legal framework.  Cost to common. Email sent to request what parts can they answer, specifically the biometric data 13/6. Since 2000 there have been 796 reported Computer furdware & Software Thefits in Fertforshive. After a telon 14 June to the Information officer they simply cannot answer as it would involve manually searthing through documents. Suggested legal transversible to accept any through a provide the school and the common and provide the provide and provide the school and	West Midlands	No reply - re emailed the FOIR 7 Aug	Not answered
Suppropriate level as set out in the Freedom of Information (Appropriate Limit and Fees) Regulations 2004. My assessment is that it would cost for every 100 reports x 20 minutes each (Infinitium) — over 38 hours at 25 cm.  It is estimated that it will late a minimum of 100 hours at a cost of 152 an hour to weap approximately 274 crimes a year, to provide an answer to this request which is above the 18 hour cost limit. This is due to the fast every crime for a 16th for huggary from locations including the term "School" for the period requested would need to be individually reviewed to provide the information requested.  Vorfolk  Could not answer due to cost.  This data is to sweed by the respective schools. It is the responsibility of the school to have policies and procedures in place regarding the release of Biometric data to the Police. All Police Services must ensure that any access to personal data in the course of any investigation is authorised at the correct level and is done within the legal framework.  Costs too much. Email sent to request what parts can they answer, specifically the biometric data 13/6. Since 2001, there have been 786 reported Computer Hardware & Software Thefts in Hertfordshire. After a telcon 14 June to the Information officer they simply cannot answer as it would involve manually searching through documents. Suggested got in tools with Hert off for Learning Comments as the most provided in the part of the computer schools to the provided into the provi	Warwickshire	and she suggested re-submitting only Question 6 and seeing if they could answer that, probably they couldnt bu	Unable to answer. Cost
cambridgeshire crimes a year, to provide an answer to this request which is above the 18 hour cost limit. This is due to the fact every crime for a thefor to hurgally from locations including the term "School" for the period requested would rever the period requested would be to answer to cost and the period to the fact every crime for a thefor to hurgally reviewed to provide the information requested.  Could not answer due to cost.  This data is owned by the respective schools. It is the responsibility of the school to have policies and procedures in place regarding the release of Biometric data to the Police. All Police Services must ensure that any access to personal data in the course of any investigation is authorised at the correct level and is done within the legal framework.  Cost sto on much. Email sent to request what parts can they answer, specifically the biometric data 13/6. Since 2001 there have been 79 feropreted Computer Hardware & Software Theffs in Hertfordshire. After a telecon 14 June to the Information officer they simply cannot answer as it would involve manually searching through documents. Suggested I got lough with the fird for Learning and the correct level and a software stolen. This figure includes schools and colleges. We were unable to ascertain whether any of the computers contained Biometric information, as this is not a recordable field and a search of the Grine Nettes did not bring back any information. As this is not a recordable field and a search of the Grine Nettes did not bring back any information. As this is not a recordable field and a search of the Grine Nettes did not bring back any information is held. Any comptomise of biometric data in schools to involving their should be reported to the ICC in the first instance. 5 No information held, the loss of personal data, including biometric, is considered a security breach and reported to the ICC in the first instance. 5 No information held, the loss of personal data, including biometric, is considered a security breach an	Nothamptonshire	'appropriate level' as set out in the Freedom of Information (Appropriate Limit and Fees) Regulations 2004. My assessment is that it would cost for every 100 reports x 20 minutes each (minimum) = over 33 hours at £25 per hour to respond to your request, which exceeds the 'appropriate limit' (currently £450 for 'prescribed costs').	Unable to answer. Cost
This data is owned by the respective schools. It is the responsibility of the school to have policies and procedures in place regarding the release of Biometric data to the Police. All Police Services must ensure that any access to personal data in the course of any investigation is authorised at the correct level and is done within the legal framework.  Costs too much. Email sent to request what parts can they answer, specifically the biometric data 13/6. Since 2001 there have been 796 reported Computer Hardware & Software Thefts in Hertfordshire. After a telcon 14 June to the Information officer they simply cannot answer as it would involve manually searching through documents. Suggested tog bit in tough with Hert Grid for tearning.  21/6: Between 2011 and 2013 to date, 742 schools and colleges have had computer hardware and software stolen. This figure includes schools and colleges. We were unable to ascertain whether any of the computers on the stolen. This figure includes schools and colleges. We were unable to ascertain whether any of the computers of the stolen. This figure includes schools and colleges. We were unable to ascertain whether any of the computers of the com	Cambridgeshire	crimes a year, to provide an answer to this request which is above the 18 hour cost limit. This is due to the fact every crime for a theft or burglary from locations including the term "School" for the period requested would	Unable to answer. Cost
procedures in place regarding the release of Biometric data to the Police. All Police Services must ensure that any access to personal data in the course of any investigation is authorised at the correct level and is done within the legal framework.  Costs too much. Email sent to request what parts can they answer, specifically the biometric data 13/6. Since 2001 there have been 796 reported Computer Hardware & Software Thefts in Hertfordshire. After a teleon 14 June to the Information officer they simply cannot answer as it would involve manually searching through documents. Suggested I got in tough with Hert Grid for Learning  21/6: Setween 2011 and 2013 to data 7/42 schools and colleges have had computer hardware and software stolen. This fligure includes schools and colleges. We were unable to ascertain whether any of the computers contained Biometric Information, as this is not a recordable field and a search of the Offence Notes did not bring back any information  The only way and 100 to betain this information would be to manually review each of the crime reports for schools to check the Items that were stolen. This would far exceed the fees limit as for the period requested there were 574 Items stolen from schools.  Cannot answer due to cost.  When the enandvised to drop question 2 & 3 and they can answer. 3/6 Answer back 20/6 "As far as we can reasonably ascertain no information is held. Any comprtomise of biometric data in schools not involving theft should be reported to the ICO in the first instance. 5. No information held. the loss of personal data, including biometric, is considered ascertify treach and reported to the ICO as the relevent regulater on asponsed to a government dept. 6. Kent police does not have and involvement with biometric data held by schools. From 2001-2012 1620 computor thefts  Can only answer question 1. Asked if they could help to answer the comments D.Smith made. 386 schools was the answer to 21 25/6  Lampshire  Could not answer due to cost.  Unable to answer. Cost Unable t	Norfolk	Could not answer due to cost.	Unable to answer. Cost
Dot there have been 796 reported Computer Hardware & Software Thefts in Hertfordshire. After a telcon 14 June to the Information officer they simply cannot answer as it would involve manually searching through documents. Suggested I got in tough with Hert Grid for Learning	Sufolk	procedures in place regarding the release of Biometric data to the Police. All Police Services must ensure that any access to personal data in the course of any investigation is authorised at the correct level and is done	Unable to answer. Cost
thames Valley  contained Biometric information, as this is not a recordable field and a search of the Computers contained Biometric information, as this is not a recordable field and a search of the Offence Notes did not bring back any information  The only way to obtain this information would be to manually review each of the crime reports for schools to check the items that were stolen. This would far exceed the fees limit as for the period requested there were 574 items stolen from schools.  Cannot answer due to cost.  Cannot answer due to cost.  Have been advised to drop question 2 & 3 and they can answer. 3/6 Answer back 20/6 "As far as we can reasonably ascertain no information is held. Any compromise of biometric data in schools not involving theft should be reported to the ICO in the first instance. 5. No information held, the loss of personal data, including biometric, is considered a security breach and reported to the ICO as the relevent regualteor as apposed to a government dept. 6. Kent police does not have and involvement with biometric data held by schools. From 2001-2012 1620 computor thefts  Condon  We have been unable to identify any instance of a school biometric database being accessed by City of London Police from 2001until present  Refused due to costs.  Refused due to costs.  Can only answer question 1. Asked if they could help to answer the comments D.Smith made. 386 schools was the answer to Q1 25/6  Can only answer question 1. Asked if they could help to answer the comments D.Smith made. 386 schools was the answer to Q1 25/6  Could not answer due to cost.  Witshire  No unable to answer. Cost  Unable to answer. Cost  We are unable to supply you with the information if to collate it would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much.  We are unable to supply you with the information you have requested as to do so would take more than 18 hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to first	Hertfordshire	2001 there have been 796 reported Computer Hardware & Software Thefts in Hertfordshire. After a telcon 14 June to the Information officer they simply cannot answer as it would involve manually searching through	Unable to answer. Cost
check the items that were stolen. This would far exceed the fees limit as for the period requested there were 574 items stolen from schools.  Cannot answer due to cost.  Have been advised to drop question 2 & 3 and they can answer. 3/6 Answer back 20/6 "As far as we can reasonably ascertain no information is held. Any comprtomise of biometric data in schools not involving theft should be reported to the ICO in the first instance. S. No information held. the loss of personal data, including biometric, is considered a security breach and reported to the ICO as the relevent regulateor as apposed to a government dept. 6. Kent police does not have and involvement with biometric data held by schools. From 2001-2012 1620 computor thefts  We have been unable to identify any instance of a school biometric database being accessed by City of London Police from 2001until present  We have been unable to osts.  Unable to answer. Cost Unable to answer to Q1 25/6  Can only answer question 1. Asked if they could help to answer the comments D.Smith made. 386 schools was the answer to Q1 25/6  Unable to answer. Cost Unable to supply you with the information you have requested as to do so would take more than 18 hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to firstly cond	Thames Valley	stolen.This figure includes schools and colleges. We were unable to ascertain whether any of the computers contained Biometric information, as this is not a recordable field and a search of the Offence Notes did not	Unable to answer. Cost & biometrics not a searchable field.
Have been advised to drop question 2 & 3 and they can answer. 3/6 Answer back 20/6 "As far as we can rteasonably ascertain no information is held. Any comprtomise of biometric data in schools not involving theft should be reported to the ICO in the first instance. S. No information held. the loss of personal data, including biometric, is considered a security breach and reported to the ICO as the relevent regualteor as apposed to a government dept. 6. Kent police does not have and involvement with biometric data held by schools. From 2001-2012 1620 computor thefts  Ondon We have been unable to identify any instance of a school biometric database being accessed by City of London Police from 2001until present  We have been unable to identify any instance of a school biometric database being accessed by City of London Police from 2001until present  Unable to answer. Cost  Bedfordshire Refused due to costs.  Unable to answer. Cost  Can only answer question 1. Asked if they could help to answer the comments D.Smith made. 386 schools was the answer to Q1 25/6  Unable to answer. Cost  Wiltshire Could not answer due to cost.  Unable to answer. Cost  Wiltshire In summary, we are not obliged to supply the information if to collate it would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish if the west to do so would take more than 18 hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to firstly conduct a search to see how many schools had reported thefts to us in the requested time period. We would then need to manually review this information in order to establish if the was of computer hardwa	Gloucestershire	check the items that were stolen. This would far exceed the fees limit as for the period requested there were	Unable to answer. Cost
rteasonably ascertain no information is held. Any comprtomise of biometric data in schools not involving theft should be reported to the ICO in the first instance. S. No information held. the loss of personal data, including biometric, is considered a security breach and reported to the ICO as the relevent regulateor as apposed to a government dept. 6. Kent police does not have and involvement with biometric data held by schools. From 2001-2012 1620 computor thefts  Ondon We have been unable to identify any instance of a school biometric database being accessed by City of London Police from 2001until present  Unable to answer. Cost We fave due to costs.  Unable to answer. Cost Unable to answer due to costs.  Can only answer question 1. Asked if they could help to answer the comments D.Smith made. 386 schools was the answer to Q1 25/6  Could not answer due to cost.  Unable to answer. Cost Unable to answer. Cost In summary, we are not obliged to supply the information if to collate it would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much.  We are unable to supply you with the information you have requested as to do so would take more than 18 hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to firstly conduct a search to see how many schools had reported thefts to us in the requested time period. We would then need to manually review this information in order to firstly establish if the was reported that biometric data was held on any of the stolen items. In accordance with the Act, this represents a Refusal Notice for your request.	Essex	Cannot answer due to cost.	Unable to answer. Cost
Police from 2001until present  Refused due to costs.  Refused due to costs.  Can only answer question 1. Asked if they could help to answer the comments D.Smith made. 386 schools was the answer to Q1 25/6  Hampshire  Could not answer due to cost.  Unable to answer. Cost  Wiltshire  In summary, we are not obliged to supply the information if to collate it would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much.  We are unable to supply you with the information you have requested as to do so would take more than 18 hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to firstly conduct a search to see how many schools had reported thefts to us in the requested time period. We would then need to manually review this information in order to firstly establish if the theft was of computer hardware or software. We would then need to further scrutinise this information in order to establish if it was reported that biometric data was held on any of the stolen items. In accordance with the Act, this represents a Refusal Notice for your request.	Kent	rteasonably ascertain no information is held. Any comprtomise of biometric data in schools not involving theft should be reported to the ICO in the first instance. 5. No information held. the loss of personal data, including biometric, is considered a security breach and reported to the ICO as the relevent regualteor as apposed to a government dept. 6. Kent police does not have and involvement with biometric data held by schools. From	No
Refused due to costs.  Can only answer question 1. Asked if they could help to answer the comments D.Smith made. 386 schools was the answer to Q1 25/6  Hampshire  Could not answer due to cost.  Unable to answer. Cost  Wiltshire  In summary, we are not obliged to supply the information if to collate it would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much.  We are unable to supply you with the information you have requested as to do so would take more than 18 hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to firstly conduct a search to see how many schools had reported thefts to us in the requested time period. We would then need to manually review this information in order to firstly establish if the theft was of computer hardware or software. We would then need to further scrutinise this information in order to establish if it was reported that biometric data was held on any of the stolen items. In accordance with the Act, this represents a Refusal Notice for your request.	London		No
Can only answer question 1. Asked if they could help to answer the comments D.Smith made. 386 schools was the answer to Q1 25/6  Could not answer due to cost.  Unable to answer. Cost  In summary, we are not obliged to supply the information if to collate it would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much.  We are unable to supply you with the information you have requested as to do so would take more than 18 hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to firstly conduct a search to see how many schools had reported thefts to us in the requested time period. We would then need to manually review this information in order to firstly establish if the theft was of computer hardware or software. We would then need to further scrutinise this information in order to establish if it was reported that biometric data was held on any of the stolen items. In accordance with the Act, this represents a Refusal Notice for your request.	Surrey	Refused due to costs.	Unable to answer. Cost
the answer to Q1 25/6  Could not answer due to cost.  Unable to answer. Cost  Unable to answer. Cost  In summary, we are not obliged to supply the information if to collate it would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much.  We are unable to supply you with the information you have requested as to do so would take more than 18 hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to firstly conduct a search to see how many schools had reported thefts to us in the requested time period. We would then need to manually review this information in order to firstly establish if the theft was of computer hardware or software. We would then need to further scrutinise this information in order to establish if it was reported that biometric data was held on any of the stolen items. In accordance with the Act, this represents a Refusal Notice for your request.	Sussex		Unable to answer. Cost
In summary, we are not obliged to supply the information if to collate it would cost too much, and we are not obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much.  We are unable to supply you with the information you have requested as to do so would take more than 18 hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to firstly conduct a search to see how many schools had reported thefts to us in the requested time period. We would then need to manually review this information in order to firstly establish if the theft was of computer hardware or software. We would then need to further scrutinise this information in order to establish if it was reported that biometric data was held on any of the stolen items. In accordance with the Act, this represents a Refusal Notice for your request.	Bedfordshire	the answer to Q1 25/6	Unable to answer. Cost
witsnire  obliged to confirm we hold it, if just to establish that would cost too much.  We are unable to supply you with the information you have requested as to do so would take more than 18 hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to firstly conduct a search to see how many schools had reported thefts to us in the requested time period. We would then need to manually review this information in order to firstly establish if the theft was of computer hardware or software. We would then need to further scrutinise this information in order to establish if it was reported that biometric data was held on any of the stolen items. In accordance with the Act, this represents a Refusal Notice for your request.	Hampshire		Unable to answer. Cost
hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to firstly conduct a search to see how many schools had reported thefts to us in the requested time period. We would then need to manually review this information in order to firstly establish if the theft was of computer hardware or software. We would then need to further scrutinise this information in order to establish if it was reported that biometric data was held on any of the stolen items. In accordance with the Act, this represents a Refusal Notice for your request.	Wiltshire		Unable to answer. Cost
	Avon and Somerset	hours work, which is the limit set by the Act to collate a response. We would need to firstly conduct a search to see how many schools had reported thefts to us in the requested time period. We would then need to manually review this information in order to firstly establish if the theft was of computer hardware or software. We would then need to further scrutinise this information in order to establish if it was reported that biometric data was held on any of the stolen items. In accordance with the Act, this represents a Refusal Notice for your	Unable to answer. Cost
	Dorset	·	Not answered

Devon and Cronwall	Cannot respond due to cost.	Unable to answer. Cost
	WALES	
Dyfed Powys	Dyfed Powys Police are unable to confirm or deny whether it does hold the information you have requested, since we consider that the Section 12 (2) exemption the Cost of Compliance exceeds the Appropriate Limit applies to it.	Unable to answer. Cost
Gwent	Please note: Gwent Police has also made enquiries with the Head of Fingerprints and he knows of no occasions when we have used or considered using biometric information from schools, during that time.	NO
North Wales	Review	Unable to answer. Cost
South Wales	Could not retreive due to cost	Unable to answer. Cost
	SCOTLAND	
Scottish Police	Thinks it will go over the cost of the FOIA, can I give them specific schools? Asked them if they could narrow it down to high schools in the cities. 2/6 - There is no feasible way at present The Police Service of Scotland can think of to be able to carry out this enquiry within the time or cost constraints of the FOI legislation.	Unable to answer. Cost.
	OTHER	
Ministry of Defence Police Committee	Does not hold the information centrally. Email sent 2/6 to ask where the information is held, it at all. They have 2900 staff in 60 locations. After speaking to them if would go over the cost of the act and think it unlikey an affirmative answer would be had. But will keep in touch if they hear.	Unable to answer. Cost.
British Transport Ploce	British Transport has not requested access to School biometric databases	No
Civil Nuclear Police Constabulary	No reply - a reminder email sent 7 Aug	Not answered
City of London Police	We have been unable to identify any instance of a school biometric database being accessed by City of London Police from 2001until present.	No

Pippa King
'Biometrics in schools'
www.pippking.blogspot.co.uk
biometricsinschools@gmail.com

End.